

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,067	01/05/2000	MASAKAZU FURUKAWA	P18520	4630	
7055	7590 03/18/200	2			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
1941 ROLA RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE 'A 20191		PAIK, SA	NG YEOP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742	3742	
·			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	۳	Ξ
	7	٠,
	L	_

			_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/462,067	FURUKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sang Y Paik	3742	_				
The MAILING DATE f this communication Period for Reply	appears n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by since the set of the	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	lowance except for formal mat der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applica	ation						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 8-24 is/are							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 25-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has be	een received.					
Attachment(s)	notice priority under 55 0.0.0.	33 .20 6.16.01 121.					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
			_				

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 7 and 25, it is unclear what are compared elements for the claimed ratio.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 26-28, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al (US 5,151,871) in view of Kawanabe et al (US 6,133,557) or Yoshida et al (US 6,080,970).

Matsumura et al shows a ceramic heater having a ceramic substrate (13) with a heating body (14) formed on the surface of the ceramic substrate and a surface opposite the surface having the heating body being a heating surface. However, Matsumura et al does not explicitly show that the ceramic substrate is a disc-shaped.

Art Unit: 3742

Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al shows a ceramic heater including a disc-shaped ceramic substrate made of aluminum nitride. It is taught that the aluminum nitride provides a high resistance to corrosion while providing high heat resistance. In view of Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Matsumura et al with a disc-shaped ceramic substrate to accommodate and to heat uniformly a semiconductor wafer which is usually in the form of a disc.

With respect to claims 3 and 5, Matsumura et al shows that the heating body includes elements such as lead, tungsten, molybdenum, nickel or noble metals such as platinum or palladium. Kawanabe et al also shows that a heating body is made of metal particles such as tungsten or molybdenum.

With respect to claims 27 and 28, Matsumura et al shows that the ceramic substrate has the thickness of 1 to 20 mm and the heating body thickness of 0.1 to 100 um.

3. Claims 4, 6 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al in view of Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al as applied to claim 1, 3, 5, 26-28, 32 and 33 above, and further in view of Okuda et al (US 4,804,823).

Matsumura et al in view of Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al discloses all the structure claimed except the heating body having metal particles and metal oxides.

Okuda et al shows a heating body having metal particles such as TiN or WC with metal oxides of aluminum, yttrium or magnesium. Okuda et al further teaches that the oxides can make up to 10% weight when provided with TiN or up to 40% by weight when provided with WC to adjust the resistance value and to improve the adhesion to the ceramic substrate. In view of Okuda et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Matsumura et

Art Unit: 3742

al, as modified by Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al, with the heating body having the claimed metal particles and oxides to form a desired heating resistance while improving the adhesion of the heating body to the ceramic substrate.

With respect to claim 6, Okuda et al shows a non-oxidizing metal such as Ni to cover the exposed portion of heating body. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have an non-oxidizing metal such as Ni on the exposed heating body such that when electrical terminals are attached to the heating body, it can be done without degradation if the heater is used over a long period of time.

With respect to claim 30, Kawanabe et al shows the metal particles having the particle size of 1.0 um. In view of Kawanabe et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the metal particle size within the claimed range and shape to form a well mixed metal particles to form heating body having uniformly dispersed particles.

- 4. Claims 7 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al in view of Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al as applied to claim 1, 3, 5, 26-28, 32 and 33 above, and further in view of Kubota et al (US 5,643,483) or Kimura (US 5,331,134).
- Matsumura et al in view of Kawanabe et al or Yoshida et al discloses all the structure claimed except the claimed ratio.

Kubota et al or Kimura shows the cross sectional of the heating body having the claimed ration of 2000 and 180, respectively. In view of Kubota et al or Kimura et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the ratio within the claimed range so that the heating body is set to a desired electrical resistance to generate the desire heating temperature uniformly along the heating surface.

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7 and 25-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to claims 14-16, they are continued to be withdrawn from consideration under the restriction requirement.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/462,067

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3463 for regular communications and 703-305-3463 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

S. Pu

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

syp March 13, 2002